
Figure Kit

Instructor: Dr. Anna Rosen

How to Read a Scientific Figure (Micro-Guide)

Name: _____ Date: _____

Astronomy is a science of *inference*. A figure is not “the truth”—it’s a compact argument made out of data, axes, and assumptions.

Step 0: Identify the figure’s job (one sentence)

This figure is trying to show:

Step 1: Read the axes like a scientist

- What are the axes? (write the full variable names, not just symbols)

x-axis: _____ units: _____

y-axis: _____ units: _____

- What is *measured vs inferred*?

Measured (observables): _____

Inferred (model-dependent): _____

- What is the scale? (linear/log; important!)

linear log mixed/other: _____

Step 2: Describe the pattern (before you interpret it)

Use literal description first.

• Trend: _____

• Scatter / uncertainty: _____

• Outliers: _____

• Range / limits: _____

If error bars exist: what do they represent? measurement error intrinsic scatter not sure

Step 3: What claim does the figure support (conservatively)?

Conservative claim (supported by what's shown):

Evidence in the figure (point to a specific feature/value/region):

Step 4: Name at least one assumption

Interpretation requires assumptions. Name one.

Assumption: _____

If this assumption fails, the interpretation might change because:

Step 5: Ask the “discriminating test” question

What new measurement would best reduce ambiguity?

Next measurement: _____

If we saw _____, it would strengthen the claim. If we saw _____, it would weaken it.

Quick checklist (for seminar)

I can say what each axis means and its units.

I separated *description* from *interpretation*.

I named at least one assumption.

I can propose a next measurement.

Socratic Seminar Prep — Half-Sheet

Name: _____ Date: _____

Seminar topic / “text” (figure, excerpt, dataset): _____

1) My Claim (one sentence)

Write a *specific* claim that you think the “text” supports.

Claim:

2) Evidence (two concrete pieces)

Point to *specific* evidence: a quoted phrase, a trend, an axis label + value, a feature in a spectrum, etc.

Evidence #1 (what I’m pointing to):

Why it supports my claim (one sentence):

Evidence #2 (what I’m pointing to):

Why it supports my claim (one sentence):

3) Assumption (what must be true for my claim to hold)

Name at least one assumption your inference relies on. (Examples: equilibrium, calibration, geometry, negligible dust, “standard candle” validity, selection effects.)

Assumption:

If this assumption fails, my claim would change like this:

4) Uncertainty (optional but strongly encouraged)

Try a confidence estimate *with a reason*.

I am about _____% confident because

5) Next Measurement (the discriminating test)

If you had one new observation/measurement you could make, what would best test your claim or distinguish between competing explanations?

Next measurement:

What outcome would strengthen my claim?

What outcome would weaken my claim?

6) One Question I want to ask the group

Ask something that pushes thinking forward (not a yes/no question).

Question:
